

## **Referáty (reprezentácia znalostí a inferencia, ZS 2008)**

- Králik**                    Dung: On the acceptability of arguments and its fundamental role in non-monotonic reasoning, logic programming and n-person games (sekcie 1, 2, 3, 4.1)
- Kunský**                    Baroni, Giacomin: Solving semantic problems with odd-length cycles in argumentation
- Svetík**                    Baroni, Giacomin: On the role of strongly connected components in argumentation
- Adamová**                Dung: On the acceptability of arguments and its fundamental role in non-monotonic reasoning, logic programming and n-person games (sekcie 1, 2, 4.2, 4.3)
- Urbaník**                Baroni, Giacomin: A recursive approach to argumentation: motivation and perspectives
- Bezák**                    Baroni, Giacomin: Characterizing defeat graphs where argumentations semantics agree
- Frtús**                    Nute: Defeasible logic
- Vrábel**                    Baroni, Giacomin: Refining SCC decomposition in argumentation semantics: a first investigation
- Gašparík**                Dimopoulos et al.: Argumentation based modelling of embedded agents dialogues
- Korenčíak**              Thimm, Kern-Isbemer: On the relationship of defeasible argumentation and answer set programming
- Patáky**                    samostatný projekt (predbežne: nemonotónna inferencia pre hru monopoly)
- Krajč**                    Prakken, Vreeswijk: Logics for defeasible argumentation. Kap. 1-3
- Mendel**                    Prakken, Vreeswijk: Logics for defeasible argumentation. Kap. 4
- Galus**                    Caminada, Amgoud: On the evaluation of the argumentation systems
- Šimo**                    Amgoud et al.: On bipolarity in argumentation frameworks
- Krajčovič**                Caminada: Collapse in formal argumentation systems

**Zvara** Billington et al.: Revising nonmonotonic theories: the case of defeasible logic

**Vitko** Antoniou et al.: Representation results for defeasible logics

**Alexandrov** Amgoud, Kaci: An argumentation framework for merging conflicting knowledge bases

**Balázs** Amgoud et al.: Making decisions through preference-based argumentation

**Bankovich** Dimopoulos et al.: Theoretical and computational properties of preference-based argumentation

**Kristín** Bondarenko et al.: An assumption-based framework for nonmonotonic reasoning