Compilation of Terms to Programs for a Stack Machine

Stack Machine

Instructions:

push(n): the number n goes to stack s**load**(n): the element $(s)_n$ is pushed on the stack

incr_i: $(s)_0 := (s)_0 + 1$ decr_i: $(s)_0 := (s)_0 - 1$ pair: $(s)_1 := (s)_1, (s)_0$ and pop. head_i: $(s)_0 := H(s)_0$ tail_i: $(s)_0 := T(s)_0$ call(q); p: stack is r, v, s_1 ; new stack is p, r, v, s_1 and r is executed; Note p is return address. q should end with ret(2) if_i(q₁,q₂); p: stack is v, s_1 ; new stack p, s_1 . q_1 or q_2 is executed according to whether v > 0 or not. Both programs should end with ret(0). ret(n): stack is $(w, q, s_1) \oplus s_2$ where $L(s_1) = n$; new stack is w, s_2 , saved return address q is executed.

60

Interpreter for the Stack Machine

Run(p,s) = v is a (partial) function interpreting the program p - which is a list of instructions for the stack machine on the stack s. At then end: Run(0, v, s) = v it yield the value v at the **top** of the stack.

We wish to write a **compiler** Comp(i, b) = p taking a **functional term** b and yielding a program p **evaluating** b.

Suppose that f(v) = a, Comp(0, a) = q and b is a part of a then the situation during the execution will be $Run(p, s \oplus (c, q, v, t))$

where *i* is the **offset** on the stack: L(s) = i containing already computed intermediate results of the body *a*.

Compilation versus Interpretation

For a function f(v) = a we wish that the **compiled** program q = Comp(0, a) satisfies the following:

$$Run(q, (c, q, v, 0)) = [r]_a^v$$

Note the **initial offset** 0 when the execution of q starts.

The general situation for a subterm b of a is:

$$Run(Comp(L(s), b) \oplus p, s \oplus (c, q, v, t)) =$$
$$Run(p, ([b]_r^v, s) \oplus (c, q, v, t))$$

Finite Sets

Coding of Finite Sets

There are many ways of coding of **finite sets** of natural numbers as natural numbers.

Probably the simplest one is as **powersets**: The **empty** set \emptyset is coded by 0 and for n > 0we code by **bits**:

$$\{s_1,\ldots,s_n\}$$
 as $\sum_{i=1}^n 2^{s_i}$

Note that s_i can again code a set.

However, the numbers coding relatively small sparse sets, say $\{2, 10^6, 3 \cdot 10^9\}$, are very large.

Coding of finite sets by ordered lists

The problem of sparse sets is solved by coding the sets as **lists** x without **repetition**:

 $\forall y \forall z \forall v \forall a \forall b (x = y \oplus (a, z \oplus (b, v)) \rightarrow a \neq b)$

For instance, **increasing lists** x are without repetition:

$$Set(x) \leftrightarrow \forall y \forall z \forall a \forall b (x = y \oplus (a, b, z) \rightarrow a < b)$$

For **list membership** predicate $a \in x$ such that

$$a \in x \leftrightarrow \exists y \exists z \, x = y \oplus (a, z)$$

we have for sets x:

 $x = y \oplus (a, z) \land b \in y \land c \in z \to b < a < c$

If Set(x) and $a \not \in x$ then the **insertion** satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} x \cup \{a\} &= y \leftrightarrow \exists v \exists w (x = v \oplus w \land y = v \oplus (a, w) \land \\ \forall b \varepsilon v (b < a) \land \forall b \varepsilon w (a < b)) \end{aligned}$$

65