

7.2.17 Theorem (Rice-Shapiro). For every $n \geq 1$ and every class \mathcal{F} of n -ary partially computable functions, if the problem $\varphi_e^{(n)} \in \mathcal{F}$ is semidecidable then the following holds for every n -ary partially computable function f :

$$f \in \mathcal{F} \text{ iff there is a finite } \theta \subseteq f \text{ such that } \theta \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Proof. Take any n -ary partially computable function $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Suppose by contradiction that for every finite $\theta \subseteq f$ we have $\theta \notin \mathcal{F}$. Consider the $(n+3)$ -ary partially computable function g defined by

$$g(e, x, t, \vec{y}) \simeq \begin{cases} \perp & \text{the computation of } \varphi_e(x) \text{ converges in } \leq t \text{ steps,} \\ f(\vec{y}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By the s - m - n theorem there is a primitive recursive function $k(e, x)$ such that

$$\varphi_{k(e,x)}^{(n)}(\vec{y}) \simeq g(e, x, y_1, \vec{y}).$$

It is easy to see that $\varphi_{k(e,x)}^{(n)} \subseteq f$ and

$$\begin{aligned} W_e(x) &\rightarrow \varphi_{k(e,x)}^{(n)} \text{ is finite} \\ \neg W_e(x) &\rightarrow \varphi_{k(e,x)}^{(n)} = f. \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\neg W_e(x) \leftrightarrow \varphi_{k(e,x)}^{(n)} \in \mathcal{F}^{(n)}$$

and thus the predicate $\neg W_e(x)$ is semicomputable. Contradiction.

In the proof of the reverse implication, assume by contradiction that there is a finite $\theta \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\theta \subseteq f$ for an n -ary partially computable function $f \notin \mathcal{F}$. Consider the $(n+2)$ -ary partially computable function g defined by

$$g(e, x, \vec{y}) \simeq \begin{cases} f(\vec{y}) & \text{if } \theta(\vec{y}) \downarrow \text{ or } \varphi_e(x) \downarrow, \\ \perp & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By the s - m - n theorem there is a primitive recursive function $k(e, x)$ such that

$$\varphi_{k(e,x)}^{(n)}(\vec{y}) \simeq g(e, x, \vec{y}).$$

It is easy to see that we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_e(x) &\rightarrow \varphi_{k(e,x)}^{(n)} = f \\ \neg W_e(x) &\rightarrow \varphi_{k(e,x)}^{(n)} = \theta. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\neg W_e(x) \leftrightarrow \varphi_{k(e,x)}^{(n)} \in \mathcal{F}^{(n)}$$

and thus the predicate $\neg W_e(x)$ is semicomputable. Contradiction. \square

Exercise. Prove Rice's theorem from the Rice-Shapiro theorem. (*Hint.* Consider the cases $\emptyset^{(n)} \in \mathcal{F}^{(n)}$ and $\emptyset^{(n)} \notin \mathcal{F}^{(n)}$ separately.)