

# Prerequisites and Notation

The only prerequisite is a knowledge of naive set theory and familiarity with basic logic notation. Important facts from the mathematical logic which are assumed to be known will be introduced in the next chapter.

**Logical notation.** We will use the symbol  $\equiv$  as the syntactical identity over syntactical objects such as terms and formulas. Also we will use  $\equiv$  as the syntactical identity over finite sequences of such objects.

Terms are formed from variables and constants by applications of function symbols in the usual way. Closed terms do not have free variables. We use lower Greek letters  $\tau, \rho, \theta$  as syntactic variables ranging over terms.

We will write  $\vec{x}$  in contexts like  $f(\vec{x})$ , where  $f$  is an  $n$ -ary function symbol, as an abbreviation for a sequence of  $n$  variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , i.e. we have  $f(\vec{x}) \equiv f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Generally,  $f(\vec{\tau})$  will be an abbreviation for  $f(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$ , where  $\vec{\tau}$  is the sequence  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$  of terms. We will also write  $f g(\vec{\tau})$  instead of  $f(g(\vec{\tau}))$ .

When we write  $\tau[f; \vec{x}]$  we indicate that the term  $\tau$  may apply the  $n$ -ary function symbol  $f$  and variables from among the  $m$ -variables  $\vec{x}$ . For an  $n$ -ary function symbol  $g$  and for an  $m$ -tuple of terms  $\vec{\rho}$  we write  $\tau[g; \vec{\rho}]$  for the term obtained from the term  $\tau$  by the substitution of terms  $\vec{\rho}$  for the corresponding variables of  $\vec{x}$  as well as by the replacement of all applications  $f(\vec{\theta})$  by applications  $g(\vec{\theta})$ .

An atomic formula is either a predicate application or an identity  $\tau = \rho$ . Formulas are formed from atomic formulas and propositional constants by applications of propositional connectives and quantifiers in the usual way:

$\top$ (true)	$\varphi \wedge \psi$ (conjunction)	$\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$ (equivalence)
$\perp$ (falsehood)	$\varphi \vee \psi$ (disjunction)	$\forall x \varphi$ (universal quantifier)
$\neg \varphi$ (negation)	$\varphi \rightarrow \psi$ (implication)	$\exists x \varphi$ (existential quantifier).

Closed formulas (i.e. sentences) do not have free variables. We will use lower Greek letters  $\varphi, \psi$  as syntactic variables ranging over formulas.

In order to improve readability of formulas, we let all binary propositional connectives group to the right. We assign the highest precedence to the quantifiers and the negation. Next lower precedence has the conjunction and then the disjunction. The connectives of implication and equivalence have the lowest precedence. For instance, the formula  $\varphi_1 \rightarrow \varphi_2 \leftrightarrow \neg\varphi_3 \vee \exists x\varphi_4 \vee \varphi_5$  should be read as  $\varphi_1 \rightarrow (\varphi_2 \leftrightarrow \neg(\varphi_3 \vee ((\exists x\varphi_4) \vee \varphi_5)))$ .

By  $\tau \neq \rho$  we designate the formula  $\neg\tau = \rho$ . We generalize some of the propositional connectives to for finite sequences. The generalized conjunction  $\bigwedge_{i=1}^n \varphi_i$  stands for  $\varphi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_n$  if  $n \geq 1$  and for  $\top$  if  $n = 0$ . We define the generalized disjunction  $\bigvee_{i=1}^n \varphi_i$  similarly. By  $\forall \bar{x}\varphi$  and  $\exists \bar{x}\varphi$  we designate the formulas  $\forall x_1 \dots \forall x_n \varphi$  and  $\exists x_1 \dots \exists x_n \varphi$ , respectively. By  $\forall \varphi$  we denote the universal closure of the formula  $\varphi$ .

Similar conventions as those for terms will be adopted also for formulas. Only substitution requires a brief explanation. Whenever we write  $\varphi[\bar{\tau}]$  it is assumed that the bound variables of the formula  $\varphi[\bar{x}]$  are first renamed so that they do not appear in the terms  $\bar{\tau}$ . Recall that a formula does not change its meaning if one of its bound variables is changed to another.

**Natural numbers.** If we do not state explicitly  $n$ -ary functions and predicates are over the domain of natural numbers

$$\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots\}.$$

We implicitly assume that we have  $n \geq 1$ ; this means that our functions and predicates have always non-zero arity. Furthermore,  $n$ -ary functions are always total, i.e. with the domain being the whole Cartesian product  $\mathbb{N}^n$ .

Natural numbers are closed under the operations of addition  $x + y$  and multiplication  $x \times y$  (written  $xy$  for short) but not under subtraction  $x - y$  and division  $\frac{x}{y}$ . For instance, we have  $3 - 5 = -2 < 0$  and  $1 < \frac{5}{3} < 2$ .

Instead of subtraction we will use modified subtraction  $x \dot{-} y$  which is over natural numbers and it is defined by

$$x \dot{-} y = \begin{cases} x - y & \text{if } x \geq y, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The modified subtraction has the following basic properties:

$$y \leq x \rightarrow x = y + (x \dot{-} y) \quad x \leq y \rightarrow x \dot{-} y = 0.$$

Note that we then have  $5 \dot{-} 3 = 2$  and  $3 \dot{-} 5 = 0$ .

Instead of division we will use euclidean division. Recall that for every natural numbers  $x$  and  $y \neq 0$  there exist unique natural numbers  $q$  and  $r < y$  such that  $x = qy + r$  holds. The numbers  $q$  and  $r$  are called respectively the quotient and the remainder of the euclidean division of  $x$  by  $y$ . We denote by  $x \dot{\div} y$  the binary integer division function and by  $x \bmod y$  the binary remainder function yielding respectively the quotient and remainder of the

euclidean division of the number  $x$  by  $y$ . The functions are defined to satisfy:

$$x \div y = \begin{cases} q & \text{if } y \neq 0 \text{ and } x = qy + r \text{ for some } r < y, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$x \bmod y = \begin{cases} r & \text{if } y \neq 0 \text{ and } x = qy + r \text{ for some } q \text{ such that } r < y, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The functions have the following basic properties:

$$x \div 0 = x \bmod 0 = 0$$

$$y \neq 0 \rightarrow x = (x \div y)y + x \bmod y \wedge x \bmod y < y.$$

For instance, we have  $5 \div 3 = 1$  and  $5 \bmod 3 = 2$ .

The binary exponentiation function  $x^y$  has a following recursive definition:

$$x^0 = 1$$

$$x^{y+1} = xx^y.$$

Note that we have  $x^y = 0 \leftrightarrow x = 0 \wedge y \neq 0$  and  $x^y = 1 \leftrightarrow x = 1 \vee y = 0$ .

For an  $n$ -ary predicate  $R$ , we denote by  $R_*$  its characteristic function which is an  $n$ -ary function such that

$$R_*(\vec{x}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } R(\vec{x}), \\ 0 & \text{if not } R(\vec{x}). \end{cases}$$

Note that the value 1 means truth while the value 0 means falsehood. We designate by  $x =_* y$ ,  $x \leq_* y$  and  $x <_* y$  the characteristic functions of the binary predicates  $x = y$ ,  $x \leq y$  and  $x < y$ , respectively. We adopt the same convention also for other binary predicates written in infix notation.

**References and meta-logical notation.** Chapters are divided into sections and these into consecutively numbered paragraphs such as definitions, theorems and remarks. Thus 5.3.4 is the 4th paragraph of the 3rd section of the 5th chapter. When a reference is made to a numbered equation within the same paragraph, both chapter and section numbers are omitted.

The word “iff” abbreviates “if and only if”; “s.t.” abbreviates “such that”; “IH” abbreviates “induction hypothesis” and “IHs” is the plural form of “IH”. The symbol  $\Rightarrow$  denotes the word “implies”, while the symbol  $\Leftrightarrow$  means “implies and is implied by”. Finally note that the conclusion of a proof is usually indicated by the symbol  $\square$ .