

The Method of Propositional Tableaux

Notation. We let all binary propositional connectives group to the right. We assign the highest precedence to the negation \neg . Next lower precedence has the conjunction \wedge and then the disjunction \vee . The connectives of implication \rightarrow and equivalence \leftrightarrow have the lowest (and same) precedence.

Tableau expansion rules.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\neg\varphi}{\varphi *} \quad \frac{\varphi \wedge \psi}{\varphi} \quad \frac{\varphi \vee \psi}{\varphi \quad \psi} \quad \frac{\varphi \rightarrow \psi}{\varphi * \quad \psi} \quad \frac{\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi}{\varphi \rightarrow \psi} \\
 \psi \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \psi \rightarrow \varphi
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\neg\varphi *}{\varphi} \quad \frac{\varphi \wedge \psi *}{\varphi * \quad \psi *} \quad \frac{\varphi \vee \psi *}{\varphi *} \quad \frac{\varphi \rightarrow \psi *}{\varphi} \quad \frac{\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi *}{\varphi \rightarrow \psi * \quad \psi \rightarrow \varphi *}
 \end{array}$$

Examples of tableaux.

| | | | |
|--|--|--|---|
| | $A \vee B \wedge C \leftrightarrow (A \vee B) \wedge (A \vee C)$ | * | |
| $A \vee B \wedge C \rightarrow (A \vee B) \wedge (A \vee C)$ | * | $(A \vee B) \wedge (A \vee C) \rightarrow A \vee B \wedge C$ | * |
| $A \vee B \wedge C$ | | $(A \vee B) \wedge (A \vee C)$ | |
| $(A \vee B) \wedge (A \vee C)$ | * | $A \vee B \wedge C$ | * |
| A | $B \wedge C$ | $A \vee B$ | |
| $A \vee B$ | * | $A \vee C$ | |
| $A \vee C$ | * | B | |
| A | * | $A \vee C$ | |
| A | * | C | |
| \square | \square | $A \vee B$ | |
| $A \vee B$ | * | $A \vee C$ | |
| \square | \square | $B \wedge C$ | |
| $B \wedge C$ | * | $B \wedge C$ | |
| B | * | C | |
| B | * | C | |
| \square | \square | A | |
| A | B | A | |
| \square | \square | C | |

Figure 1: Distributivity of logical disjunction over logical conjunction

$$\begin{array}{c}
 (A \rightarrow B) \wedge (B \rightarrow C) \wedge A \rightarrow C * \\
 (A \rightarrow B) \wedge (B \rightarrow C) \wedge A \\
 C * \\
 A \rightarrow B \\
 (B \rightarrow C) \wedge A \\
 B \rightarrow C \\
 A \\
 A * \quad B \\
 \square \quad B * \quad C \\
 \square \quad \square
 \end{array}$$

Figure 2: Transitivity of logical implication

| | |
|---|-----------------------------|
| $(A \rightarrow B) \leftrightarrow \neg B \rightarrow \neg A$ | * |
| $(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow \neg B \rightarrow \neg A$ | * |
| $(\neg B \rightarrow \neg A) \rightarrow A \rightarrow B$ | * |
| $A \rightarrow B$ | $\neg B \rightarrow \neg A$ |
| $\neg B \rightarrow \neg A$ | $A \rightarrow B$ |
| $\neg B$ | A |
| $\neg A$ | B |
| A | $\neg B$ |
| B | $\neg A$ |
| A | B |
| B | A |
| A | $\neg B$ |
| B | $\neg A$ |
| A | B |
| B | A |
| A | \square |
| B | \square |
| \square | \square |

Figure 3: Contraposition of logical implication